

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,062	MILKS, STEPHEN A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael K. GRAY	3746	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael K. GRAY. (3)_____.

(2) W.R. Duke Taylor, Esq.. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/038,062	Applicant(s) MILKS, STEPHEN A.	
	Examiner Michael K. GRAY	Art Unit 3746	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael K. GRAY. (3) _____

(2) W.R. Duke Taylor, Esq.. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 + 3 + 12

Identification of prior art discussed: Goyetche '190

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attorney submitted proposed claim attached hereto, regarding claim 1. Examiner will have to evaluate claim in light of Goyetche + conduct further search. Attorney suggested claims 3 + 12 could be cancelled.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Michael K. Gray
Examiner's signature, if required

Group Art Unit: 3746
Examiner: Michael K. Gray
Serial No. 10/038,062
Inventor: Stephen A. Milks
Filing Date: January 4, 2002
Title: **AIR CIRCULATION DEVICE**

Attorney Docket No. 8416-000008

PROPOSED CLAIM FOR INTERVIEW

1. An air circulation device comprising:

a housing assembly having a front face portion, a main base portion, and a rear face portion, the base portion having a flat motor and a fan blade;

a rigid casing sealing the motor and associated motor bearings creating a liquid impermeable seal enabling said air circulation device to be subjected to liquids for cleaning said fan blades while preventing corrosion and damage due to the liquid and said casing having a thickness covering said motor to provide a low profile motor assembly.

having casing 1" or less